

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,997	03/29/2001	Brian M. Collins	219.39660X00	4076
21186 7590 05/09/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIKETA I	
MINNEAFOLI	.5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/819,997	COLLINS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
			2181		
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication app	Niketa I. Patel ears on the cover sheet with the			
Period for R					
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Do for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed  Tom the mailing date of this communication.  TOM (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Fe</u>	bruary 2007.			
· —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims				
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) 2-15,24-44,47 and 49 aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) 1,16-23,45,46 and 48 is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		eration.		
Application	Papers				
·—	e specification is objected to by the Examine		d to be the Francisco		
	e drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2001</u> is/are: .a plicant may not request that any objection to the				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	cnowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage		
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	art atom reprivation		

Application/Control Number: 09/819,997

Art Unit: 2181

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species III (Claims 1, 16-23, 45-46, 48) in the reply filed on 02/15/2007 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a channel adapter" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Since "a channel adapter" is recited in line 1 of the claim, line 14 should recite "the channel adapter." Dependent claims 16-23 inherit the same deficiency.

# Specification

5. The use of the trademark PCI has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 2181

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 45-46 and 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Micalizzi Jr. et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,564,271 B2 (hereinafter "*Micalizzi*") and Avery U.S. Patent Number: US 6,704,831 B1 (hereinafter "*Avery*".)
- Referring to claims 1 and 45, *Micalizzi* teaches, in taking claim 1 as exemplary, a channel adapter comprising: a host interface [see figure 1, element 116], the host interface operatively connected to a memory by a local bus [see figure 1, elements 106, 114], the memory containing at least one completion queue [see figure 1, element 112] and at least one event queue [see figure 1, element 110]; a link interface, the link interface operatively connected to a network [see figure 1, connection to element 130]; a packet processing engine, the packet processing engine moving data between the host interface and the link interface [see figure 1, element 122]; and a completion queue engine, the completion queue engine processing completion requests from the packet processing engine by writing the appropriate at least one of the at least one completion queue and at least one event queue [see figure 2, element 202, 204 and column 4, lines 8-28.] *Micalizzi* does not set for the limitation of an address translation engine, the address translation engine translating a virtual address into a physical address of a translation protection table in the memory and wherein the packet processing engine is not impacted by any address

Application/Control Number: 09/819,997

Art Unit: 2181

translation functionality, completion queue accesses, or event queue accesses thereby significantly enhancing the performance of a channel adapter. *Avery* teaches a channel adapter with an address translation engine for translating a virtual address into a physical address without impacting packet processing engine [see *Avery* column 11, lines 50-65 and column 12, lines 15-20] in order to provide virtual to physical address mapping.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the channel adapter of *Micalizzi* to have an address translation engine for translating a virtual address into a physical address. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement an address translation engine in order to provide virtual to physical address mapping.

- 9. **Referring to claim 46**, the combination of *Micalizzi & Avery* teaches wherein the first interface comprises a host interface, the host interface operatively connected between the channel adapter and the memory by a local bus [see figure 1, element 116.]
- 10. **Referring to claim 48**, the combination of *Micalizzi & Avery* teaches wherein the second interface comprises a link interface, the link interface operatively connected between the channel adapter and a network [see figure 1, connection to element 130.]

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 16-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/819,997

Art Unit: 2181

Referring to claim 16, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination with other does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation of the address translation engine comprising an inbound request processor and a request completion processor, the inbound request processor receiving a request for address translation of the virtual address, the request completion processor sending a physical address of the memory associated with the virtual address and retrieved from the translation protection table to at least one of the packet processing engine and the completion queue engine in response to the request, in combination with other recited limitations.

Referring to claims 17-23, are indicated as allowable due to the dependency on claim 16.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272 4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 2181

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner:

Niketa Patel

05/05/2007